

CARP executive committee

Minutes of meeting 23rd Mar 2005

Present:

B Roe, C Pearson, R Patterson, P Wooff, P Quinton, D Murray, A Hoover, T Rimmer, B Jones, M Guilding, P Beswick & D Longley.

Apologies:

R Henderson & P Gardner.

Minutes:

As this was a continuation of the previous meeting, minutes were not read.

Item 6 – Skatepark:

B Roe expressed unhappiness that P Gardner had apparently publicised the decision prior to CARP making any formal announcement.

T Rimmer indicated that 6 letters of complaint had been received at the CARP office and it appeared from the dates that some, at least, had been written before exec had actually come to a decision.

R Patterson reminded the meeting that there is a code of conduct for members.

Scheme Bid:

A draft application had been circulated prior to the meeting. The final bid must be submitted before 31st March.

PB commented that some projects from the action plan did not appear in the scheme bid. RP pointed out that NWRDA will not fund certain community projects – other sources will need to be found for those.

BJ asked about the urban design study; CP replied that some issues were still to be resolved but that it would need to be referred to in the final bid.

DJL offered to check the office daily for any mail. PB advised encouraging more use of the office, ensuring that it will be open more often.

CP stated that more examples of specific projects could be included; this was agreed.

Various corrections to the text of the document were agreed and BR objected to the paragraph referring to the station. It was agreed that this be reworded. Figures were to be included in the key indicators section, LCC's input will be expanded and other strategies added. Tier 3 outputs will have been superseded by 1st April.

PB agreed to develop an equal opportunities policy and the LCC access officer will be consulted on disabled access issues.

A costings sheet draft was presented, derived from the Carnforth masterplan feasibility matrix. Some assumptions need to be challenged but it can only ever be based on best estimates. The annual delivery plan will set more accurate spending plans.

It was proposed by DJL, seconded by TR, that, subject to the agreed amendments and corrections, the application should go forward. All agreed.

Constitution:

A subgroup had met to discuss this. Charitable status had been abandoned at a previous meeting. BR & DJL agreed to confer and bring a final draft to put to the next exec meeting.

PB proposed that we include an equal opps policy and the service level agreement with city council. PB to forward example of EOP to DJL. SLA being written currently.

New Projects:

Salvation Army and Scout plans were discussed. TR & DJL had thought it might be useful to let both groups see an example of the type of application which would be needed, as funding sources other than NWRDA might be required. RP has produced draft guidelines for projects wishing to be included; it was agreed that they be given copies of these instead.

PB proposed an inter-agency group to co-ordinate community use of existing facilities.

AGM:

It was pointed out that a treasurer would need to be elected at the AGM.

In view of the large amount of detail still needing to be finalised, it was agreed to postpone the AGM to Thurs 12th May, venue to be arranged.

AOB:

TR proposed that we co-opt Bernard Simon onto the exec, seconded by DM. It was suggested that this should be left until the AGM.